#### SCR



# Selection and Constitutional Review Committee

Notice of a Meeting, to be held in Committee Room No. 1 (Fougères Room), Civic Centre, Tannery Lane, Ashford, Kent TN23 1PL on Wednesday 11<sup>th</sup> February 2015 at 6.00 pm\*.

The Members of this Committee are:-

Cllr Clarkson (Chairman); Cllr Claughton (Vice-Chairman); Cllrs Bennett, Burgess, Chilton, Davidson, Davison, Galpin, Hodgkinson, Howard, Mrs Martin, Robey

NB: Under the Council's Public Participation Scheme, members of the public can submit a petition, ask a question or speak concerning any item contained on this Agenda (Procedure Rule 9 refers)

## **Agenda**

Page Nos.

1 16

- 1. **Apologies/Substitutes** To receive Notification of Substitutes in accordance with Procedure Rule 1.2(iii).
- 2. **Declarations of Interest:-** To declare any interests which fall under the ifollowing categories, as explained on the attached document:
  - a) Disclosable Pecuniary Interests (DPI)
  - b) Other Significant Interests (OSI)
  - c) Voluntary Announcements of Other Interests

See Agenda Item 2 for further details

3. **Minutes** – To approve the Minutes of the Meeting of this Committee held on the 9<sup>th</sup> December 2014

#### Part I – For Decision

- 4. Community Governance Review
- 5. Appointment to East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust 17 18 Council of Governors

<sup>\*</sup>Please note start time

## Part II – For Information

None for this Meeting

DS/AEH 3<sup>rd</sup> February 2015

Queries concerning this agenda? Please contact Danny Sheppard: Telephone: 01233 330349 Email: danny.sheppard@ashford.gov.uk
Agendas, Reports and Minutes are available on: <a href="www.ashford.gov.uk/committees">www.ashford.gov.uk/committees</a>



#### Declarations of Interest (see also "Advice to Members" below)

(a) <u>Disclosable Pecuniary Interests (DPI)</u> under the Localism Act 2011, relating to items on this agenda. The <u>nature</u> as well as the existence of any such interest must be declared, and the agenda item(s) to which it relates must be stated.

A Member who declares a DPI in relation to any item will need to leave the meeting for that item (unless a relevant Dispensation has been granted).

(b) Other Significant Interests (OSI) under the Kent Code of Conduct as adopted by the Council on 19 July 2012, relating to items on this agenda. The <u>nature</u> as well as the existence of any such interest must be declared, and the agenda item(s) to which it relates must be stated.

A Member who declares an OSI in relation to any item will need to leave the meeting <u>before the debate and vote</u> on that item (unless a relevant Dispensation has been granted). However, prior to leaving, the Member may address the Committee in the same way that a member of the public may do so.

- (c) <u>Voluntary Announcements of Other Interests</u> not required to be disclosed under (a) and (b), i.e. announcements made for transparency reasons alone, such as:
  - Membership of outside bodies that have made representations on agenda items. or
  - Where a Member knows a person involved, but does <u>not</u> have a close association with that person, or
  - Where an item would affect the well-being of a Member, relative, close associate, employer, etc. but not his/her financial position.

[Note: an effect on the financial position of a Member, relative, close associate, employer, etc; OR an application made by a Member, relative, close associate, employer, etc, would both probably constitute either an OSI or in some cases a DPI].

#### **Advice to Members on Declarations of Interest:**

- (a) Government Guidance on DPI is available in DCLG's Guide for Councillors, at <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/240134/Openness\_and\_transparency\_on\_personal\_interests.pdf">https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\_data/file/240134/Openness\_and\_transparency\_on\_personal\_interests.pdf</a>
- (b) The Kent Code of Conduct was adopted by the Full Council on 19 July 2012, with revisions adopted on 17.10.13, and a copy can be found in the Constitution at http://www.ashford.gov.uk/part-5---codes-and-protocols
- (c) If any Councillor has any doubt about the existence or nature of any DPI or OSI which he/she may have in any item on this agenda, he/she should seek advice from the Head of Legal and Democratic Services and Monitoring Officer or from other Solicitors in Legal and Democratic Services as early as possible, and in advance of the Meeting.

## **Selection & Constitutional Review Committee**

Minutes of a Meeting of the Selection & Constitutional Review Committee held in Committee Room No.1 (Fougeres Room), Civic Centre, Tannery Lane, Ashford on the **9**<sup>th</sup> **December 2014** 

#### Present:

Cllr. Clarkson (Chairman);

Cllr. Claughton (Vice-Chairman);

Cllrs. Bennett, Burgess, Chilton, Davidson, Davison, Galpin, Hodgkinson, Mrs.

Martin, Robey.

#### Also Present:

Cllr. Miss Martin

Housing Improvement Manager, Senior Member Services & Scrutiny Support Officer.

### 271 Declarations of Interest

Councillor	Interest	Minute No.
Burgess	Made a 'Voluntary Announcement' as he was a Member of the Overview & Scrutiny Committee.	275
Chilton	Made a 'Voluntary Announcement' as he was Chairman of the Overview & Scrutiny Committee.	275
Davison	Made a 'Voluntary Announcement' as he was Vice-Chairman of the Overview & Scrutiny Committee.	275
Galpin	Made a 'Voluntary Announcement' as he was quoted in the report for Agenda Item 6.	275
Hodgkinson	Made 'Voluntary Announcements' as a tenant of Ashford Borough Council and as she was registered disabled and;	273
	Made a 'Voluntary Announcement' as she was a Member of the Overview & Scrutiny Committee.	275

#### 272 Minutes

#### Resolved:

That the Minutes of the Meeting of this Committee held on the 9<sup>th</sup> October 2014 be approved and confirmed as a correct record.

# 273 Constitutional Amendment Proposal – Disabled Adaptations to Council Properties – Appeals Process

The report advised of a proposed addition to the terms of reference of the Council's Appeals Committee to consider appeals against Officer decisions to refuse disabled adaptation works to Council homes.

The Deputy Leader, who was also the Chairman of the Ashford Access Group, advised that he had been involved in discussions on this matter in both of his roles and his comments had been included within the report. Ashford Access had accepted the independence of the Appeals Panel and were also fully prepared to act as an advocate on behalf of the Appellants if requested. He said he was happy to support the recommendation.

In response to questions the Housing Improvement Manager advised that the initial decisions on such adaptations would be taken following consideration of professional expert advice from the likes of Social Services and Occupational Heath Therapists. He also advised that there was a lot of independent support available to those who did wish to appeal, including from Ashford Access as previously mentioned.

The Committee said it wished to acknowledge the huge amount of work that the Housing Improvement Manager had undertaken on this matter and thanked him for his time and efforts.

#### Recommended:

That the Council's Constitution be amended to reflect that the remit of the current Appeals Committee be extended to consider appeals against Officer decisions to refuse disabled adaptation works to Council homes.

# 274 Planning Task Group – Change of Title to Planning Policy Task Group

The Chairman advised that he had made the proposal to revert back to the previous name of Planning Policy Task Group, in order reflect its role dealing specifically with planning policy matters and to differentiate it from the Planning Committee. He said it also fell within his responsibility as Leader of the Council in terms of developing and promoting new policies.

#### Recommended:

That the Planning Task Group be renamed the Planning Policy Task Group with immediate effect.

## 275 Recommendations from the Overview & Scrutiny Committee

The report advised of the Overview & Scrutiny Committee's concern that the Council's new capital projects were being agreed by Cabinet before they had had a proper opportunity to scrutinise them. It was considered that such scrutiny would give Cabinet the benefit of an extra check, with proper research exploring all facts, before making a commitment to a major project. The Committee agreed to propose an amendment to the Constitution to enable Group Leaders to request that such items be scrutinised by Overview & Scrutiny before they were reported to Cabinet. The Committee also agreed to propose that the timing of Council meetings to agree Cabinet decisions be adjusted to give more time for the call-in process to take place (if instigated).

The Chairman said that he could not agree with the report or support the recommendations of the Overview & Scrutiny Committee and he felt there had been some misunderstanding of the current arrangements. He considered the recommendations were unnecessary as there was an existing process in place for call-in which worked well. Changing the timing of Council meetings also appeared unnecessary because as soon as something was called in, a decision would not be taken until such time that Overview & Scrutiny had undertaken their investigations. It was in effect frozen. He also said that developers and organisations had to be able to approach the Cabinet with ideas and potential projects without having to have those scrutinised before the Cabinet had even had a chance to examine them. He considered that Overview & Scrutiny needed to understand that they were there to scrutinise the decisions of this administration and it had to be that way round.

The Chairman of the Overview & Scrutiny Committee said he had not personally been present at the September meeting when these recommendations had been made and if he had been he would not personally have supported them. He also thought the proposals to allow Group Leaders or Deputy Leaders to call in matters was problematic. He recognised though that as Chairman of Overview & Scrutiny he had a duty not to vote against the wishes of that Committee so he would therefore abstain from voting on this matter.

In response to questions it was clarified that any five Members of the Overview & Scrutiny Committee could get together to call-in an issue, or any two Members plus the Chairman. There was currently no provision for Group Leaders to call items in.

#### Resolved:

That the two recommendations from the Overview & Scrutiny Committee be not supported.

In accordance with Procedure Rule 15.5 Councillor Chilton requested that his vote in abstention be recorded in the Minutes.

## 276 Recommendations from the Member Training Panel

The report advised that the report of the Chairman of the Member Training Panel meeting of 18<sup>th</sup> August 2014 had been considered by Cabinet on the 9<sup>th</sup> October and some Cabinet Members had expressed concern about two of the recommendations. The Cabinet had therefore agreed to refer the recommendations to this Committee and the report gave an explanation as to the thinking behind those recommendations.

The Chairman then ran through each of the recommendations with the Committee and the following observations were made:-

Recommendation (i) - Accepted.

Recommendation (ii) - Some Members did not agree that any information should be given out to candidates in advance of the Elections, only once they had been elected. The Senior Member Services & Scrutiny Support Officer advised that the intention was for this to be a short leaflet simply explaining the basic expectations and responsibilities of an Ashford Councillor. There was to be a prospective candidates evening in March 2015 and it was proposed to hand this out at that event. The Committee considered that on the whole, such information should really be given by the Political Groups/Agents and the Council itself should not get involved until after the Elections, however if a short factual document was produced and agreed by all Group Leaders then this would be acceptable. On a related issue a Member said she much preferred the previous arrangement whereby an appointment was made with the Chief Executive for each Member to come in and sign their Declaration of Acceptance of Office, rather than having to do it on the day at the Count which was usually very busy. It allowed Members, especially newly elected ones, to meet the Chief Executive and gave them time to take in what they were signing up to. The Chairman said that this was a matter that could be taken up with the Chief Executive.

Recommendation (iii) – The Committee considered this was an excellent idea that should certainly be taken forward.

Recommendation (iv) – Accepted but only if the Member and the Chairman of the Panel feel it is worthwhile, appropriate or necessary

Recommendation (v) – Accepted.

Recommendation (vi) – The Chairman proposed that as a starting point, attendance figures for Full Council meetings only should be published. This was the only meeting that all Members were expected to attend and so would provide some context. The information should be presented in three columns (Attended/Apologised/Absent), but it was considered that it should be published somewhere other than the annual allowances notice. Some Members considered that this should be extended to all Council Committees and the Chairman said that this was perhaps the next step, but he considered it should be done incrementally. He said that he was as concerned as anybody at the attendance of some Members at meetings and he had personally taken a great interest in that since he became

Leader of the Council, but ultimately it was a matter for Group Leaders to deal with their own errant Members accordingly. The Committee was happy to support this as a starting point.

Recommendation (vii) - Accepted.

#### Recommended:

- That (i) the direction proposed for Member Training and Induction be supported.
  - (ii) a short factual document on the particular expectations and responsibilities of an Ashford Councillor be produced and distributed to prospective candidates at the event in March 2015. This document to be agreed by all Group Leaders in advance.
  - (iii) at the first meeting of each Committee, Group etc. following the Election, the first Agenda item should be an introduction to that Committee and an explanation of what it is there to do.
  - (iv) reports from external training sessions should be produced if the Member attending feels it is worthwhile and appropriate. Such reports shall be submitted to the Chairman of the Member Training Panel, who will circulate to the other Panel Members or wider as necessary."
  - (v) an on-line training portal for Members be devised.
  - (vi) from May 2015 Members attendance figures at Full Council meetings be published.
  - (vii) Group Leaders be asked for their continued support for the proposed Member Training and Induction programme and to encourage their new and existing Members to attend induction and training sessions.

DS

Agenda Item No: 4

**Report To:** Selection & Constitutional Review Committee

11<sup>th</sup> February 2015 Date:

Community Governance Review **Report Title:** 

Portfolio Holder: Cllr Clarkson, Leader

**Report Author:** Sarah Hartles, Principal Solicitor

Summary: This report sets out the details of the proposed Community

> Governance Review and recommends the Terms Reference and timetable on which it should be carried out.

**Key Decision:** YES

**Affected Wards:** ΑII

Recommendations: The Selection and Constitutional Review Committee be

asked to:-

1. Recommend to Council that a Community Governance Review be undertaken;

2. Recommend to Council that the Terms of Reference (see Appendix) be adopted; and

3. Recommend to Council that the timetable for the review set out in the Terms of Reference be

adopted.

**Policy Overview:** It is Government Guidance that the Council should review the

parish arrangements of the Borough every 10-15 years.

**Financial** 

There will be a small financial implication of carrying out the Implications: review and the consultation process. A substantial impact on

staff resources is expected (see below).

**Risk Assessment** Yes

**Community Impact** Assessment

Yes

Other Material Implications:

Staff resources will be required and the impact of this needs

to be borne in mind

Exemption Clauses:

Background Papers:

N/A

sarah.hartles@ashford.gov.uk - Tel: (01233) 330215 Contacts:

## **Report Title: Community Governance Review**

## **Purpose of the Report**

1. The purpose of this report is to ask the Task Group and the Selection and Constitutional Review Committee to recommend that Council approves the carrying out of a Community Governance Review of the parishes and unparished areas of the Borough, and approves the Terms of Reference and timetable for that review.

#### Issue to be Decided

2. Whether to carry out the Community Governance Review of the parishes and unparished areas of the Borough in accordance with the Terms of Reference and timetable set out in this report.

## **Background**

- 3. Under the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 ("the Act"), the Council has a duty to carry out a Community Governance Review on receipt of a valid petition under section 80 of the Act. We have received one valid petition requesting that the Council carries out a review of the North Willesborough area with a view to creating a parish council, and we have been informed that another petition is about to be submitted to us but at the time of writing this has not yet happened.
- 4. In addition, current guidance suggests that it is good practice for the Council to consider conducting a review every 10-15 years. The last review was done in 2006 and was a partial review of parishes in the Borough, so it is timely to carry out a review. In addition, there is a window of opportunity to carry out this review before the Local Government Boundary Commission for England starts its review of the Borough ward boundaries in 2016.

#### Terms of reference

- 5. The Act requires Council to approve and subsequently publish the Terms of Reference of the review.
- 6. Draft Terms of Reference are attached at Appendix 1.
- 7. The draft Terms of Reference propose a review of all of the parishes and unparished areas of the Borough. This will give Council the opportunity to receive and consider submissions from all residents of the Borough as to any issues relating to the parishing of their area.

## The review process

8. The review process is set out in the Act and subsequent Government guidance. A summary of the process is set out below.

Stage	What happens?	Proposed Timescale
Commencement	Terms of reference are published.	23 <sup>rd</sup> February
Stage One	Initial submissions are invited from stakeholders and residents. Officers undertake initial research, gather information and hold an open day.	13 weeks
Stage Two	Consideration of submissions and preparation of the draft recommendations.	9 weeks
Stage Three	The draft recommendations are published and consulted on.	13 weeks
Stage Four	Consideration of response to consultation and preparation of final recommendations.	6 weeks
Approval of Final Recommendations	Council approves the final recommendations.	December 2015
Resolution	Council resolves to make a reorganisation order if appropriate.	February 2016

- 9. The full proposed timetable for the review is set out at Appendix 1 to the Terms of Reference.
- 10. As the review is of the whole Borough, Members will be consulted as stakeholders and will be asked to make submissions throughout the process in the same way as other stakeholders. Other stakeholders will include: Kent County Council, the MPs for the Borough area, Parish Councils, Community Forums and other community groups.
- 11. The final recommendations will be for full Council to approve, having taken into consideration the responses received to the consultation on the draft recommendations.

## **Risk Assessment**

12. The Council is under a duty to carry out a review following receipt of a valid petition under the Act. Failure to carry out the review would result in a breach of statutory duty by the Council.

## **Communities Impact Assessment**

- 13. Government guidance states that the recommendations made in a community governance review ought to bring about "improved community engagement, more cohesive communities, better local democracy and result in more effective and convenient delivery of local service."
- 14. The consultation process will seek to include all areas of the Borough and all sections of the community.

## **Other Options Considered**

15. Officers have considered other options for the timetable of the review, but given the proposed Local Government Boundary Commission review of the Borough ward boundaries other timetabling options would be a long way into the future. The proposed timetable is also the least disruptive of the Local Government Boundary Commission's review.

## **Consultation & Handling**

- 16. The Parish Review and Polling Places Review Task Group will consider the Terms of Reference before making recommendations to the Selection and Constitutional Review Committee, who will then make recommendations to Council. Due to the timings of the meetings, the recommendations of the Task Group will be reported verbally to the Committee at the meeting.
- 17. It is proposed that the Task Group considers each phase of the review process before the formal reports are made to the Selection and Constitutional Review Committee and Council.

## **Implications Assessment**

18. The main impact will be on staff resources and the time required to carry out the public consultations, consider the submissions and present them to Members.

#### Conclusion

19. The Council is under a duty to carry out this review, there is an opportunity to carry out the review before the scheduled Local Government Boundary Commission review of the Borough wards.

#### Portfolio Holder's Views

20. This is a difficult period for the Authority, because we are aware that the Boundary Commission is due to undertake a review in 2016, which may well impact upon any governance matters that we undertake in the meantime. Notwithstanding that, we must still proceed and I am of the firm view that given the current circumstances, this report sets out the best way forward.

**Contact:** Sarah Hartles

**Email:** sarah.hartles@ashford.gov.uk

### ASHFORD BOROUGH COUNCIL

## Review of Community Governance Arrangements Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007

#### **Terms of Reference**

#### Introduction

Ashford Borough Council ("the Council") has resolved to conduct a Community Governance Review of the whole of the Ashford Borough Council Local Authority area in accordance with Part 4 Chapter 3 of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007. The Council is required to have regard to the Guidance on Community Governance Reviews issued by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government. This guidance was considered when drawing up the Terms of Reference ("TOR").

#### Aim of the Community Governance Review

The aim of the review is to consider and bring about improved community engagement, better local democracy and efficient, more effective and convenient delivery of local services and ensure electors across the whole Borough will be treated equitably and fairly.

#### The review will consider:

- The electoral arrangements for the existing parishes, including the number of councillors elected to the parish, parish warding;
- The boundaries of the existing parishes in light of ongoing development;
- Whether the residents of any unparished area of the Borough would have effective and convenient representation by having a parish or community council(s);
- Any other issues that are submitted in response to the review consultation process.

## Why undertake this Community Governance Review?

The Council is undertaking this review in accordance with section 83(2) of the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007 in response to a valid petition received under section 80 of that Act. It is also being carried out in advance of a review of the electoral arrangements of the borough by the Local Government Boundary Commission for England, which is due to take place in 2016. In addition, Government guidance recommends that a review is carried out every 10-15 years. The last review was carried out in 2006 and was a partial review only and so it is timely to carry out a review of the whole Borough.

A review provides an opportunity for principal authorities to review and make changes to community governance within their area. Such reviews can be undertaken when there have been changes in population or in reaction to specific or local new issues to ensure that the community governance for the area continues to be effective and convenient and it reflects the identities and interests of the community.

The Council believes that parish councils play an important role in terms of community empowerment at the local level and wants to ensure that parish governance within the Borough continues to be robust, representative and enabled to meet new challenges. Furthermore, it wants to ensure that there is clarity and transparency to the areas that parish councils represent and that the electoral arrangements of parishes – the warding arrangements and the allocations of councillors – are appropriate, equitable and readily understood by their electorate.

### What is a Community Governance Review?

A Community Governance Review offers the opportunity to put in place strong, clearly defined boundaries, tied to firm ground features and to remove any anomalous parish boundaries. It can take place for the whole or part of the Borough to consider one or more of the following:

- Creating, merging, altering or abolishing parishes;
- The naming of parishes and the style of new parishes;
- The electoral arrangements for parishes (the ordinary year of election; council size, the number of councillors to be elected to the council, and parish warding); and
- Grouping parishes under a common parish council or de-grouping parishes.

The Council is required to ensure that community governance within the area under review will be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and is effective and convenient.

In doing so the community governance review is required to take into account:

- The impact of community governance arrangements on community cohesion; and
- The size, population and boundaries of a local community or parish.

#### Area to be reviewed

The Community Governance Review includes the whole of the Ashford Borough Council area.

#### Who will undertake the Review?

The Council is responsible for conducting the review. The body responsible for overseeing this process is the Full Council. It will oversee the review and produce draft and final recommendations; the Council will approve the final recommendations before a Community Governance Order is made. In coming to its recommendation in the Review, the Council will need to take account of the views of local people. A full consultation process will form part of the Review to take full account of the views of local people.

The Council is required to approve the final recommendations prior to the Community Governance Order being made.

#### CONSULTATION

How the Council proposes to conduct consultations during the review

Before making any recommendations or publishing final proposals, the Council will take full account of the views of local people. The Council will comply with the statutory consultative requirements by:

- Consulting local government electors for the area under review;
- Consulting any other person or body (including a local authority) which appears to the Council to have an interest in the review;
- Notifying and consulting Kent County Council;
- Taking into account any representations received in connection with the review.

The Council will publicise the review by displaying a notice at the Civic Centre, placing articles on the Council's website and in the Council's magazine, Ashford Voice. The Council will also write to all parish councils, neighbourhood forums or any other community or resident groups of which the Council is aware, the Kent Association of Local Councils, Borough Councillors and the relevant County Councillors, the MP and Kent County Council.

The Council will publish its recommendations as soon as practicable and take such steps as it considers sufficient to ensure that persons who may be interested in the review are informed of the recommendations and the reasons behind them. The Council will notify each consultee and any other persons or bodies who have made written representations of the outcome of the review.

#### Timetable for the review

A timetable for the review is attached at Appendix 1. The programme and timeline may be adjusted after representations have been received by local people and bodies in response to the initial public consultation. This will allow the Council a degree of flexibility in the interests of ensuring that it manages the review process efficiently. Any adjustments to the programme and timetable will be approved by the Council and published on its website.

#### **Electorate forecasts for the District**

The Review will be conducted using electoral data taken from the February 2015electoral register.

When the Council comes to consider the electoral arrangements of the parishes in its area, it is required to consider any change in the number or distribution of the electors which is likely to occur in the period of five years beginning with the day when the review starts. Electorate forecasts have been prepared by the Council using extant planning permissions and the Local Plan to project the five-year electorate forecast.

It is the government's guidance that these forecasts should be made available to all interested parties as early as possible in the review process, so that they are available to all who may wish to make representations.

## THE PRESENT STRUCTURE OF PARISHES AND THEIR ELECTORAL ARRANGEMENTS

A summary of the present structure of the parishes and their electoral arrangements is attached at Appendix 2.

## REORGANISATION OF COMMUNITY GOVERNANCE ORDERS AND COMMENCEMENT

The review will be completed when the Council adopts the Reorganisation of Community Governance Order. Copies of this Order, the map(s) that show the effects of the order in detail, and the document(s) which set out the reasons for the decisions that the Council takes (including where it has decided to make no change following the review) will be deposited at the Civic Centre, and on the website.

In accordance with the Guidance issued by the Government, the Council will issue maps to illustrate each recommendation at a scale that will not normally be smaller than 1:10,000.

These maps will be deposited with the Secretary of State at the Department of Communities and Local Government and at the Civic Centre. Prints will also be supplied, in accordance with the regulations, to Ordnance Survey, the Registrar General, the Land Registry, the Valuation Office Agency, the Boundary Commission for England and the Electoral Commission.

#### **CONSEQUENTIAL MATTERS**

#### General principles

The Council notes that a Reorganisation Order may cover any consequential matters that appear to the Council to be necessary or proper to give effect to the Order. These may include:

- The transfer and management or custody of property;
- The setting of precepts for new parishes;
- Provision with respect to the transfer of any functions, property, rights and liabilities;
- Provision for the transfer of staff, compensation for loss of office, pensions and other staffing matters.

In these matters, the Council will be guided by Regulations that have been issued following the 2007 Act.

#### Borough ward boundaries

The Council is mindful that it may be necessary for it to recommend the Local Government Boundary Commission to make alterations to the boundaries of the borough wards or county electoral divisions to reflect the changes made at parish level. The Council notes that it will be for the Local Government Boundary Commission to decide if related alterations should be made and when they should be implemented, and that the Commission may find it appropriate to conduct an electoral review of the affected areas.

The Council notes that the Local Government Boundary Commission will require evidence that the Council has consulted on any such recommendations for alterations to the boundaries of the borough wards or county electoral divisions as part of the review. Of course, such recommendations for alterations may only become apparent during the course of the review. Even so, the Council will endeavour to include any such draft recommendations for alterations at the earliest possible opportunity for consultation that will arise after they become apparent.

Representations

Ashford Borough Council welcomes all representations from any person or body who may wish to comment or make proposals on any aspect of the matters under review.

Representations should be addressed to: Community Governance Review, Legal Services, Ashford Borough Council, Civic Centre, Tannery Lane, Ashford, Kent TN23 1PL.

Representations may be sent by email to [ ]@ashford.gov.uk or via the Council's website at www.ashford.gov.uk.

All initial representations must be made by 29<sup>th</sup> May 2015. There will be a further opportunity to responds to the second stage of the review as set out on the timetable attached at Appendix 1.

The Council will consult with the local government electors for the area under review and any other person or body who appears to have an interest in the review and take the representations that are received into account by judging them against the criteria in the Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act 2007.

All representation received will be published, as will the reasons for accepting or rejecting any such representations. In accordance with the Act, representations received in connection with the Review will be taken into account, and steps will be taken to notify consultees of the outcome of the Review.

How will the results be disseminated?

The Council will publish full details on the Council's website; press releases will be issued at key points and key documents will be on deposit at the council offices.

This Review is deemed to have commenced on the date of this Notice.

Dated	
Daicu	,

## Terms of Reference Appendix 1

## A timetable for the review

Dates	Review Stage	Activity
19 <sup>th</sup> February 2015		Council approves Terms of Reference.
23 <sup>rd</sup> February 2015	Commencement	Terms of Reference published, Council notifies stakeholders.
23 <sup>rd</sup> February to 29 <sup>th</sup> May 2015	Stage One – Submissions	Submissions are invited. The Council invites proposals from stakeholders on future arrangements and carries out research, information gathering, holds open day.
30 <sup>th</sup> May to 31 <sup>st</sup> July 2015	Stage Two – Consideration of Submissions	Consideration of the submissions that are received and preparation of the draft recommendations.
1 <sup>st</sup> August to 30 October 2015	Stage Three – Draft Recommendations	Draft recommendations are published and consulted on.
31 <sup>st</sup> October to 16 <sup>th</sup> December 2015	Stage Four – Consideration of final submissions	Consideration of the submissions that are received and preparation of the final recommendations.
December 2015* Council meeting	Conclusion	Final recommendations are approved by Council and published.
February 2016* Council meeting	Resolution	Council resolves to make a reorganisation order and requests the Electoral Commission to approve and consequential changes.

<sup>\*</sup>Date of meeting to be confirmed

## Terms of Reference Appendix 2

## Present Structure of Parishes and electoral arrangements

The table below sets out the present structures of the parishes in the Ashford Borough area.

Parish	No. of Clirs	Electorate (as at Jan 2015)	Parish Wards (Cllrs)	Electorate
Aldington &	9	1081	Aldington (7)	987
Bonnington			Bonnington (2)	94
Appledore	7	601	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Bethersden	9	1244		
Biddenden	11	2050		
Bilsington	5	255		
Boughton Aluph	8	1909	Boughton Aluph	634
& Eastwell			Eastwell	103
			Goat Lees	1172
Brabourne	7	1100		
Brook	6	256		
Challock	7	739		
Charing	12	2366	Charing (10)	2039
_			Charing Heath (2)	327
Chilham	9	1364		
Crundale*	_	149		
Egerton	9	871		
Godmersham	5	302		
Great Chart	11	5036	Great Chart with	2671
with Singleton			Singleton North (5)	
			Singleton South (6)	2365
Hastingleigh	5	200		
High Halden	7	1464		
Hothfield	9	648		
Kenardington	5	221		
Kingsnorth	10	8234	Brisley Farm (1)	992
			Kingsnorth Village (1)	1257
			Park Farm North (2)	1576
			Park Farm South (2)	2078
			Stubbs Cross (1)	237
			Washford Farm (2)	1163
			Westhawk (1)	931
Little Chart	5	203		
Mersham &	10	1153	Mersham (7)	874
Sevington			Sevington North (2)	240
			Sevington South (1)	39
Molash	5	205		

Newenden	5	171		
Orlestone	9	1105		
Pluckley	9	881		
Rolvenden	9	1216	Rolvenden Layne (3)	342
			Rolvenden Streyte (6)	874
Ruckinge	7	596		
Shadoxhurst	7	974		
Smarden	9	1089		
Smeeth	7	691		
Stanhope	12	2486	Stanhope Central (10)	1986
			Stanhope Speldhurst (1)	343
			The Limes (1)	157
Stone-Cum- Ebony	7	389		
Tenterden	16	6352	St Michaels (5)	1859
	į		Tenterden North (4)	1851
			Tenterden South (5)	1984
			Tenterden West (2)	658
Warehorne	5	298		
Westwell	9	604		
Wittersham	7	956		
Woodchurch	9	1690		
Wye with Hinxhill	11	1829		

#### **Parishes**

The Council wishes to ensure that electors should be able to identify clearly with the parish in which they are resident. It considers that this sense of identity and community lends strength and legitimacy to the parish structure, creates a common interest in parish affairs, encourages participation in elections to the parish council, leads to representative and accountable government, engenders visionary leadership and generates a strong, inclusive community with a sense of civic values, responsibility and pride. The Council considers that parishes should reflect distinctive and recognisable communities of interest, with their own sense of identity; the feeling of local community and the wishes of local inhabitants are primary considerations in this review.

The Council is anxious to balance carefully the considerations of changes that have happened over time, through population shifts or additional development for example, and that have led to a different community identity with historic traditions in its area. The Council notes the government's Guidance that community cohesion should be taken into account in this review.

#### What does 'Electoral Arrangements' mean?

An important part of the review will comprise giving consideration to 'Electoral

#### Arrangements'.

The term covers the way in which a council is constituted for the parish. It covers:

- The ordinary year in which elections are held;
- The number of councillors to be elected to the council;
- The division (or not) of the parish into wards for the purpose of electing councillors;
- The number and boundaries of any such wards;
- The number of councillors to be elected for any such ward;
- The name of any such ward.

### Ordinary year of election

The Local Government Act 1972 states that ordinary election of parish councils shall take place in 1976, 1979 and every fourth year thereafter (i.e.2011, 2015, 2019 etc.).

If the Review finds that it is appropriate to create new posts for parish councillors then these will come in to effect at the next ordinary day of election (May 2019).

The legislation lays down the different duties that the Council has with regard to the creation of a council for a parish:

- Where the number of electors is 1,000 or more a parish council must be created;
- Where the number of electors is 151-999 a parish council may be created, with a parish meeting being the alternative form of parish governance;
- Where the number of electors is 150 or fewer a parish council is not created.

It is also important to consider whether other, non-parish forms of community governance are in place in an area, for example neighbourhood forums.

#### What considerations cover the number of parish councillors?

The Council notes that the number of parish councillors for each parish council shall not be less than five. There is no maximum number. There are no rules relating to the number of parish councillors. However, the Council will use the following guidelines, which are based on recommendations from the National Association of Local Councils, for the purposes of the review:

<u>Electors</u>	Suggested number of Parish Councillors	<u>Electors</u>	Suggested number of Parish Councillors
Up to 900	7	10,400	17
1,400	8	11,900	18
2,000	9	13,500	19
2,700	10	15,200	20
3,500	11	17,000	21
4,400	12	18,900	22
5,400	13	20,900	23
6,500	14	23,000	24

7,700	15	45,000	25
9,000	16	,	

The government's guidance is that "each area should be considered on its own merits, having regard to its population, geography and the pattern of communities" and therefore the Council is prepared to pay particular attention to existing levels of representation, the broad pattern of existing parish council sizes which have stood the test of time and the take-up of seats at elections in its consideration of this matter.

By law, the Council must have regard to the number of local government electors for the parish and any change in that number that is likely to occur in the period of five years beginning with the day when the review starts, when considering the number of councillors to be elected for the parish.

#### Parish warding

The Act requires that in considering whether a parish should be divided into wards for the purposes of elections of the parish council the Council should consider whether the number, or distribution, of the local government electors for the parish would make a single election of councillors impracticable or inconvenient, and whether it is desirable that any area or areas of the parish should be separately represented on the council.

The government's guidance is that "the warding of parishes in largely rural areas that are based predominately on a single centrally-located village may not be justified. Conversely, warding may be appropriate where the parish encompasses a number of villages with separate identities, a village with a large rural hinterland or where, on the edges of towns, there has been some urban overspill into the parish."

The Council will be mindful of all this guidance, noting further that "each case should be considered on its merits and on the basis of the information and evidence provided during the course of the review."

The Council notes that warding arrangements should be clearly and readily understood by and should have relevance for the electorate of the parish; they should reflect clear physical and social differences within a parish; one parish but comprising different parts.

The Council recognises that ward arrangements should have merit; not only should they meet the two tests laid down in the Act, but they should also be in the interests of effective and convenient local government. They should not be wasteful of a parish's resources.

Agenda Item No: 5

Report To: Selection & Constitutional Review Committee

ASHFORD

Date: 11<sup>th</sup> February 2015

Report Title: Appointment to East Kent Hospitals University NHS

Foundation Trust – Council of Governors

**Report Author:** Senior Member Services & Scrutiny Support Officer

**Summary:** To advise the Committee of the need to make nominations to

a position on an outside body/organisation.

Key Decision: NO

Affected Wards: N/A

Recommendations: The Committee is asked to make a nomination to the East

Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust -

**Council of Governors** 

**Policy Overview:** The appointment of Members to outside bodies/organisations

assists Councillors in their community engagement role.

Financial

Implications:

None

Risk Assessment N/A

Other Material

Implications:

N/A

**Exemption** 

Clauses:

None

Background

Papers:

None

Contacts: danny.sheppard@ashford.gov.uk – Tel: (01233) 330349

## **Appointment to East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust – Council of Governors**

## **Purpose of the Report**

1. To advise the Committee of the need to make a nomination to the East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust (EKHUFT) – Council of Governors

#### Issue to be Decided

- 2. You may recall that the six Local Authorities in East Kent have a seat on the EKHUFT Council of Governors. That seat covers the Boroughs/District Councils of Ashford, Canterbury, Dover, Shepway, Swale and Thanet. Since January 2011, following the death of Ashford Borough Councillor John Kemp, the representative has been Councillor Patrick Heath of Dover District Council.
- 3. It is a requirement of the Trust's constitution that stakeholders who are represented on the Council of Governors review their nominated representative every three years.
- 4. Councillor Heath's appointment is due to expire on the 28<sup>th</sup> February 2015 and the Council is asked whether it would agree to him being re-appointed for a further three year term to 28<sup>th</sup> February 2018 or whether it would like to nominate a new representative.

#### Conclusion

5. The Committee is asked to make a nomination to the East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust – Council of Governors

Contact: Danny Sheppard

Email: danny.sheppard@ashford.gov.uk